

# CORRELATIONS BETWEEN INTELLIGENCE, HEAD CIRCUMFERENCE AND HEIGHT: EVIDENCE FROM TWO SAMPLES IN SAUDI ARABIA

SALAHELDIN FARAH ATTALLAH BAKHIET\*<sup>1</sup>, YOSSRY AHMED SAYED  
ESSA\*, AMIRA MAHMOOD MOHSEN DWIEB\*, ABDELKADER MOHAMED  
ABDELKADER ELSAYED†, AFRA SULMAN MOHAMMED SULMAN‡,  
HELEN CHENG§ AND RICHARD LYNN¶

\**King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia*, †*Dhofar University, Salalah, Sultanate  
of Oman*, ‡*University of Khartoum, Sudan*, §*University College London, UK* and  
¶*University of Ulster, Coleraine, Northern Ireland, UK*

**Summary.** This study was based on two independent studies which in total consisted of 1812 school pupils aged 6–12 years in Saudi Arabia. Study I consisted of 1591 school pupils (609 boys and 982 girls) attending state schools, and Study II consisted of 211 boys with learning disabilities. Intelligence (measured using the Standard Progressive Matrices Plus for Study I and the Standard Progressive Matrices for Study II), head size and height were measured for the two samples. The results showed that intelligence was statistically significantly correlated with head circumference ( $r = 0.350$ ,  $p < 0.001$  for Study I and  $r = 0.168$ ,  $p < 0.05$  for Study II) and height ( $r = 0.271$ ,  $p < 0.001$  for Study I and  $r = 0.178$ ,  $p < 0.05$  for Study II).

## Introduction

It has long been known that head size and height are positively related to intelligence. This relationship was noted for head size in the nineteenth century by the French physician Paul Broca (1873), who measured the external and internal skull dimensions of brains at autopsy and observed that skilled workers had a larger average brain size than the unskilled, and eminent individuals averaged a larger brain than the less eminent. An early report of a positive association between head size and intelligence was published by Galton (1888) in a study of students at the University of Cambridge in which it was found that head size measured as circumference was greater by 2.5 to 5% in those who obtained top degrees, taken as a measure of higher intelligence, than in those who

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Email: slh9999@yahoo.com

obtained less good degrees. Later in the nineteenth century, the relation between educational attainment (an approximate proxy for intelligence) and height was reported in a study of 33,500 American school students by Porter (1892).

Since these early reports, numerous studies have confirmed the positive relation between head size and intelligence. This positive association has been confirmed in numerous subsequent studies showing that head size is positively correlated with intelligence measured by intelligence tests, summarized by Lynn (1994) and Rushton (2000, p. 37), who reported results from 32 studies with an average correlation of 0.23. In another study, Rushton and Ankney (2009) summarized the results of 59 studies that reported the relation between external head measures and IQ for which the average correlation was 0.20. They also summarized the results of 28 studies that reported the relation between brain size measured by brain imaging and IQ, for which the average correlation was 0.40. The reason that the correlation was higher when brain imaging was used to measure brain size is that it is much more accurate than external head measures. In these studies head size was adopted as a proxy for brain size and it was argued that larger brains conferred greater cognitive ability. In more recent studies brain size has been measured directly by magnetic resonance imaging (rather than being inferred from head size) and was shown to be positively associated with intelligence with a correlation of 0.40 in a meta-analysis by Vernon *et al.* (2000, p. 248). In an updated meta-analysis of associations between human brain volume and intelligence differences a correlation of 0.24 has been reported by Pietschnig *et al.* (2015).

There have also been a number of studies reporting a positive relation between height and intelligence, e.g. Wilson *et al.* (1986), Walker *et al.* (2000) and Gale (2005). These studies have typically shown correlations between height and IQ of around 0.25. For example, in a large sample of 11-year-olds in Scotland the correlations were 0.24 for boys and 0.25 for girls (Deary *et al.* 2009, p. 24).

These studies of the association between intelligence with head size and height have nearly all been made on samples in Europe and North America. This study reports two studies designed to ascertain whether this relation holds for samples in Saudi Arabia.

## Methods

### *Study I*

Sample I consisted of 1591 school students (609 boys and 982 girls) aged 6–12 years (mean 9.5 years) attending state schools in Riyadh, the capital of Saudi Arabia. Intelligence was measured using the Standard Progressive Matrices Plus (SPM+)—a non-verbal reasoning test that has been widely used in many countries (Raven, 2008). Head size was measured as the circumference at its maximum just above the ears. Head circumference has been used as an approximate estimate of head size and brain size in numerous studies, as reviewed by Rushton and Ankney (2009). Height was measured without shoes.

### *Study II*

Sample II consisted of 221 boys aged 6–12 years (mean 8.6 years) with learning disabilities (a condition with reduced ability to understand new or complex information

or to learn new skills) attending schools in Riyadh. Intelligence was measured using the Standard Progressive Matrices (SPM) (Raven *et al.*, 2000). Head circumference was measured at its maximum just above the ears and height was measured without shoes.

## Results

### Study I

The results for Sample I are given in Table 1. The table shows the means and SDs for SPM+, head circumference and height, and the correlations between SPM+ and head circumference and between SPM+ and height, for each age group. For the total sample, the mean SPM+ score was 25.9 (SD = 13.2), the mean head circumference was 53.1 cm (SD = 3.7) and the mean height was 130.6 cm (SD = 18.5). Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the SPM+ was 0.86.

The correlation between SPM+ and head circumference for the total sample was  $r = 0.350$  ( $p < 0.001$ ). After controlling for sex and age, the correlation was  $r = 0.249$  ( $p < 0.001$ ). The correlation between SPM+ and height for the total sample was  $r = 0.271$  ( $p < 0.001$ ). After controlling for sex and age, the correlation was  $r = 0.177$  ( $p < 0.001$ ).

### Study II

Table 2 shows the means and SDs of the Standard Progressive Matrices (SPM) for Sample II for each age group. The sample obtained a mean score on the SPM of 19.2 (SD 10.8), a mean head circumference of 52.3 cm (SD = 2.9) and a mean height of 133.5 cm (SD = 11.2). Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the SPM was 0.89.

As each age group is relatively small, correlation analysis was conducted using the total sample. The correlation between SPM and head circumference was  $r = 0.168$  ( $p < 0.05$ ). After controlling for age, the correlation was  $r = 0.173$  ( $p < 0.01$ ). The correlation between SPM and height was  $r = 0.178$  ( $p < 0.05$ ). After controlling for age, the correlation was  $r = 0.151$  ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 1.** Standard Progressive Matrices Plus (SPM+) score, head circumference and height in Study I and the correlations between SPM+ and head circumference, and between SPM+ and height by age group,  $N = 1591$

| Age (years) | <i>n</i> Male/<br>Female | SPM+ Mean<br>(SD) | Head<br>circumference<br>(cm) | Height<br>(cm) | Correlation between<br>SPM+ and head<br>circumference | Correlation<br>between<br>SPM+ and<br>height |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 6           | 57/58                    | 14.7 (8.5)        | 53.0 (5.6)                    | 117.6 (15.7)   | 0.258**   | 0.213**                                      |
| 7           | 40/131                   | 16.3 (8.5)        | 51.5 (3.3)                    | 114.3 (8.9)    | 0.183*  | 0.204**                                      |
| 8           | 77/146                   | 19.9 (10.0)       | 52.5 (1.8)                    | 120.7 (12.0)   | 0.234***  | 0.132*                                       |
| 9           | 83/148                   | 24.7 (10.6)       | 52.0 (3.6)                    | 128.0 (29.5)   | 0.214**   | 0.175*                                       |
| 10          | 112/158                  | 28.9 (13.4)       | 53.4 (4.1)                    | 131.8 (9.8)    | 0.283***  | 0.270**                                      |
| 11          | 104/204                  | 32.1 (12.5)       | 53.8 (3.2)                    | 138.0 (10.3)   | 0.415***  | 0.171*                                       |
| 12          | 136/137                  | 32.6 (13.2)       | 54.5 (3.4)                    | 146.8 (10.9)   | 0.382***  | 0.177*                                       |

\* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ .

**Table 2.** Standard Progressive Matrices (SPM) score, head circumference and height in Study II by age group,  $N = 221$ 

| Age (years) | $n$ | SPM Mean (SD) | Head circumference (cm) | Height (cm)  |
|-------------|-----|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| 6           | 19  | 14.3 (9.2)    | 52.7 (2.6)              | 131.2 (14.6) |
| 7           | 21  | 17.2 (11.6)   | 52.8 (3.4)              | 128.1 (11.8) |
| 8           | 55  | 18.9 (11.1)   | 51.8 (4.2)              | 128.5 (9.7)  |
| 9           | 67  | 21.2 (10.9)   | 52.6 (2.1)              | 135.2 (9.2)  |
| 10          | 22  | 17.6 (10.3)   | 51.8 (1.8)              | 136.4 (9.1)  |
| 11          | 21  | 23.7 (11.3)   | 52.1 (1.5)              | 140.0 (10.6) |
| 12          | 16  | 16.5 (5.5)    | 52.3 (1.7)              | 140.6 (12.8) |

### Discussion

There are four points of interest in the study. First, the results show that for both studies there are statistically significant correlations between intelligence and head size and intelligence and height. The correlations for intelligence and head size for the total sample are 0.350 in Study I and 0.168 in Study II. The correlations for intelligence and height are 0.271 in Study I and 0.178 in Study II. These correlations are of approximately the same size as those that have been obtained in European populations noted in the Introduction.

Second, the likely explanation for the positive correlation between intelligence and head size is that a larger brain contains more neurons and therefore has more processing power, proposed by Vernon *et al.* (2000), analogous to a larger computer possessing more processing power than a smaller computer. Further evidence is shown in a recent quantitative meta-analysis conducted by Basten *et al.* (2015) on functional and structural brain imaging studies on intelligence. It is further supported by the findings of another recent study (Ritchie *et al.*, 2015), which showed that among six brain variables (brain volume, cortical thickness, white matter structure, white matter hyper-intensity load, iron deposits and micro bleeds), brain volume accounted for the largest portion of variance of  $g$  and fluid intelligence.

Third, it is improbable that there is any causal relationship between height and intelligence. Wilson *et al.* (1986) found no evidence that an increase in height caused a rise in intelligence in a study of 2177 children studied longitudinally in the American National Health Examination Survey, in which changes in height between the ages of 8 and 13 years were not found to be related to changes in scores on tests of intelligence or academic achievement. The most likely explanation for the positive correlation between intelligence and height is that the quality of nutrition obtained by the fetus and by babies affects the development of both height and the brain, bringing the two into positive correlation. This is suggested by studies showing that intelligence and height have increased since the 1920s in many economically developed nations as a result of improvements in nutrition (Lynn, 1990).

Fourth, the practical implication of this research is that governments could probably improve the intelligence of children by ensuring that pregnant women, infants and children have the best nutrition by taking nutritional supplements. Evidence for the positive effect of nutritional supplements on intelligence has been reported by Lynn and Harland (1998).

### Acknowledgment

This is a research project that was supported by a grant from the Research Center for the Humanities, Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University.

### References

- Basten, U., Hilger, K. & Fiebach, C. J.** (2015) Where smart brains are different: a quantitative meta-analysis of functional and structural brain imaging studies on intelligence. *Intelligence* **51**, 10–27.
- Broca, P.** (1873) Sur les cranes de la caverne de l'Homme Mort. *Revue d'Anthropologie* **2**, 1–53.
- Deary, I. J., Whalley, L. J. & Starr, J. M.** (2009) *A Lifetime of Intelligence*. American Psychological Association, Washington, DC.
- Gale, C.** (2005) Commentary: height and intelligence. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **34**, 678–679.
- Galton, F.** (1888) Head growth in students at the university of Cambridge. *Nature* **38**, 14–15.
- Lynn, R.** (1990) The role of nutrition in secular increases of intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences* **11**, 273–285.
- Lynn, R.** (1994) Sex differences in intelligence and brain size: a paradox resolved. *Personality and Individual Differences* **17**, 257–271.
- Lynn, R. & Harland, E. P.** (1998) A positive effect of iron supplementation on the IQs of iron deficient children. *Personality and Individual Differences* **24**, 883–887.
- Pietschnig, J., Penke, L., Wicherts, J. M., Zeiler, M. & Voracek, M.** (2015) Meta-analysis of associations between human brain volume and intelligence differences: how strong are they and what do they mean? *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **57**, 411–432.
- Porter, W. T.** (1892) The physical basis of precocity and dullness. *Transactions of Academy of Science of St. Louis* **6**, 161–181.
- Raven, J., Raven, J. C. & Court, J. H.** (2000) *Standard Progressive Matrices*. Oxford Psychologists Press, Oxford.
- Raven, J. H.** (2008) *Standard Progressive Matrices Plus*. Pearson, London.
- Ritchie, S. J., Booth, T., Valdés Hernández, M. D. C., Corley, J., Maniega, S. M., Gow, A. J. & Deary, I. J.** (2015) Beyond a bigger brain: multivariable structural brain imaging and intelligence. *Intelligence* **51**, 47–56.
- Rushton, J. P.** (2000) *Race, Evolution and Behavior*. Charles Darwin Research Institute, Port Huron, MI.
- Rushton, J. P. & Ankney, C. D.** (2009) Whole brain circumference and general mental ability: a review. *International Journal of Neuroscience* **119**(5), 692–732.
- Vernon, P. A., Wickett, J. C., Bazana, P. G. & Stelmack, R. M.** (2000) The neuropsychology and neurophysiology of human intelligence. In Sternberg, R. J. (ed.) *Handbook of Intelligence*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Walker, S. P., Grantham-McGregor, S. M., Powell, C. A. & Chang, S. M.** (2000) Effects of growth restriction in early childhood on growth, IQ, and cognition at age 11 to 12 years and the benefits of nutritional supplementation and psychosocial stimulation. *Journal of Pediatrics* **137**, 36–41.
- Wilson, D. M., Hammer, L. D. & Duncan, P. M.** (1986) Growth and intellectual development. *Pediatrics* **78**, 646–650.